# Testing of NH<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH combustion mechanisms based on a large amount of experimental data

Siyabonga Nxumalo, Boyang Su<sup>\*</sup>, Máté Papp, Tamás Turányi

Institute of Chemistry, ELTE Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary

## Abstract

Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) is an attractive carbon-free fuel. Ammonia must be blended with other fuels, such as H<sub>2</sub> or organic compounds, to improve its combustion properties. Among these, methanol (CH<sub>3</sub>OH) has become a popular additive for ammonia combustion due to its high reactivity and being a renewable fuel. This work aims to quantitatively evaluate the performance of detailed NH<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH combustion mechanisms using a comprehensive experimental data set. In our study, a large set of literature experimental data (2552 data points in 160 data series) on NH<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH combustion covering a wide range of conditions has been collected: ignition delay times measured in shock tubes and rapid compression machines, species concentration measurements carried out in jet-stirred reactors and flow reactors. The in-house developed Optima++ framework code was used to test the collected NH<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH combustion mechanisms. The performances of these combustion mechanisms were assessed based on an error function, which also considers the standard deviations ( $\sigma$ ) of the experimental data. Mechanism Yin2024 was found to be the best-performing mechanism based on our experimental data collection.

Keywords: Carbon-free fuel; Ammonia/methanol; Combustion mechanism; Mechanism comparison

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: boyang@student.elte.hu

## 11. Introduction

2 Global mobility has been substantially increased 3 by the fast-paced globalization and the development 4 of transportation technologies. Unfortunately, these 5 developments have also made the transportation 6 sector one of the biggest contributors to carbon 7 emissions worldwide, worsening urban air quality and 8 accelerating climate change. The need to find and use 9 alternative fuels that can lessen the environmental 10 impact of transportation is growing as the world shifts 11 to a low-carbon economy. Because of its potential to 12 aid in the decarbonization of the energy and 13 transportation sectors, ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) has received 14 significant attention as a carbon-free fuel among the 15 promising alternatives [1].

16 Ammonia is an efficient fuel with high energy 17 density and knock resistance. However, its low 18 reactivity causes slow combustion and harmful 19 emissions. Its high auto-ignition temperature leads to 20 incomplete combustion and NOx formation [2]. 21 Methanol, a reactive and renewable fuel, enhances 22 ammonia ignition and combustion properties [3]. 23 Their blend improves efficiency and reduces 24 emissions, making a more sustainable fuel solution.

25 Recently, several ammonia/methanol mechanisms 26 have been proposed. However, these models were 27 validated only against ammonia/methanol 28 combustion experiments in a restricted range of 29 experimental facilities or under narrow condition 30 ranges. To compare the performances of these 31 mechanisms accurately, a quantitative evaluation 32 based on different experimental types is necessary.

In the present study, the performances of five
ammonia/methanol mechanisms were investigated by
using the experimental data from a large set of
ammonia/methanol measurements.

#### 38 2. Experimental data collection

39

large set of experimental 40 Α data on 41 ammonia/methanol combustion has been collected. 42 The so-called indirect measurements are widely used 43 for testing, validating and optimizing combustion 44 mechanisms. In the data collection of this study, 45 ignition delay time measurements were carried out in 46 shock tubes (IDT-ST) and rapid compression 47 machines (IDT-RCM). Concentrations of species 48 were measured in jet-stirred reactors (JSR) and flow 49 reactors (FR). Table 1 shows the initial conditions for 50 the collected data points according to different 51 experimental types.

<sup>52</sup> In total, 2552 data points in 160 dataseries were <sup>53</sup> stored in 55 XML files using the ReSpecTh Kinetics <sup>54</sup> Data (RKD) Format Specification v2.5 [4]. A "data <sup>55</sup> series" contains those data points that were measured <sup>56</sup> in the same apparatus in one experiment at similar <sup>57</sup> conditions except for one that was systematically <sup>58</sup> changed. An RKD-format data file contains all <sup>59</sup> information required for the proper simulation of the <sup>114</sup> 60 experiments, including measured values and 61 experimental conditions, which involves the exact 62 ignition criteria for ignition delay time simulations, 63 the volume of the experimental setup for JSR 64 simulations, volume-time history profiles for IDT-65 RCM simulations, temperature profiles for FR 66 simulations.

## 68 3. Methodology

69

67

All simulations were carried out with in-house r1 developed Optima++ framework code [5], using the r2 Cantera [6] and OpenSmoke++ [7] simulation r3 packages. IDT-ST, IDT-RCM and JSR simulations r4 were conducted with Cantera, while FR simulations r5 were carried out using OpenSmoke++.

A systematical method [8] of assessments of the 77 performance of combustion mechanisms was used in 78 the present study. The method utilizes mean squared 79 error E, which is defined as:

$$E = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \left( \frac{Y_{ij}^{\text{sim}} - Y_{ij}^{\text{exp}}}{\sigma\left(Y_{ij}^{\text{exp}}\right)} \right)^2 \tag{1}$$

80 Here, *N* is the number of data series and  $N_i$  is the 81 number of included data points in the *i*-th data series. 82 Values  $Y_{ij}^{exp}$  and  $\sigma(Y_{ij}^{exp})$  are the *j*-th data point and 83 its estimated standard deviation, respectively, in the *i*-84 th data series. Value  $Y_{ij}^{sim}$  is the corresponding 85 simulated result. If the experimental data is 86 characterized by absolute error, then  $Y_{ij} = y_{ij}$  was 87 taken in Equation (1) for both the experimental data 88 and the corresponding simulated results. We applied 89 this method for concentration profile measurements. 90 If, however, a relative error belongs to the data point, 91  $Y_{ij} = \ln y_{ij}$  was taken. This option was used for 92 ignition delay time measurements.

93 The computed mean squared error *E* given in 94 Equation (1) is a suitable quantitative measure to 95 evaluate the performance of a mechanism. Note that 96 *E* < 9 means that the experimental data can be 97 reproduced by the mechanism on average within three 98 times of estimated standard deviation, and we 99 consider it as a general indicator of the goodness for a 100 mechanism.

## 102 4. The investigated mechanisms

103

101

104 The investigated mechanisms include 105 Kaust2023[10], SJTU2024 [11], Yin2024 [9], Li2022 106 [12], and DUT2024 [13]. Mechanism Kaust2023 was 107 proposed for the oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub>/C1 fuel mixtures, 108 including syngas, methanol and methane. 109 Mechanisms DUT2024, Li2022 and Yin2024 were 110 developed specifically for NH<sub>3</sub>/methanol combustion. 111 Additionally, SJTU2024, a kinetic model for the 112 oxidation of NH<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub>, was also 113 tested here.

1 Table 1. Summary of the experimental data on NH<sub>3</sub>/methanol combustion sorted according to experiment types

EXP. type	<i>T /</i> K	p / atm	φ	Methanol % in fuel	Nr. data series	Nr. of data points	Reference
IDT-ST	1058-1980	1.3-13	0.5-2	0-4.1%	27	188	[15][16]
IDT-RCM	835-1100	19.7,39.5	0.5-2	0-5.7%	15	352	[12]
JSR	705-1215	1	0.5	0.19%-1.25%	41	934	[17]
FR	650-1250	49.35	0.1-0.7	0-5.7%	77	1078	[9][18]
2							

	T 11 A	3.6	1		C .1	•	1	1 .
2	Table 7	Mean	somared	errors	of the	Invest	tigated	mechanisms
5	1 uoie 2.	multun	squarca	CHIOIS	or the	111 1 0.0	uguiou	meenumbins

Mechanisms ID	Species	Reactions	Ref.	E <sub>IDT-ST</sub>	$E_{\rm IDT-RCM}$	$E_{\rm JSR}$	$E_{\rm FR}$	$E_{\mathrm{average}}$
Yin2024	199	1288	[9]	15.4	13.0	56.2	10.9	23.9
SJTU2024	180	1409	[11]	7.8	20.0	51.7	25.4	26.2
Li2022	264	1801	[12]	11.2	25.4	51.5	24.9	28.3
Kaust2023	152	1388	[10]	12.7	102.2	55.5	27.7	49.5
DUT2024	60	400	[13]	28.8	96.1	71.3	34.1	57.6
Included data points/ data series				152/25	338/14	770/40	1041/77	2301/156
All data points/ data series				188/27	352/15	934/41	1078/77	2552/160

36

#### 5 5. Discussion

#### 6

Table 2 lists the number of species and reactions of 8 the mechanisms and also shows the mean squared 9 error function values of these models according to 10 different experimental types. In this study, if the *E* 11 value of a data point is extremely high (larger than 900 12 ( $30\sigma$ )) for any of the mechanisms, then we excluded 13 the data point from the error calculation.

14  $E_{\text{average}}$  is the average of the type-specific errors. 15 Mechanism Yin2024 is the best-performing model 16 based on the filtered experimental dataset. 17 Mechanism SJTU2024 is the best-performing 18 mechanism for IDT-ST measurements with the  $E_{\text{IDT}}$  = 19 7.8, while Yin2024 is the best-performing mechanism 20 for both IDT-RCM and FR measurements.

Figure 1 shows the percentages of the data points that were reproduced by the mechanisms within given multiples of experimental standard deviation. The mechanisms were ordered according to the decreasing percentage of the data points within 3  $\sigma$ . The bestperforming mechanism Yin2024 reproduced around 72% of data points with E < 9 (3  $\sigma$ ) and 16% of data points with E > 25 (3  $\sigma$ ).

29 30



31 Figure 1. Stacked bar plot of the data points that were 32 reproduced within given multiples of experimental 33 standard deviations





37 Figure 2. Ignition delay time measurements by Li et38 al. [15] in a shock tube.



40 Figure 3. Ignition delay time measurements by Li et41 al. [12] in a rapid compression machine.42

Figure 2 shows the simulation results of the mechanisms for a selected IDT-ST data series. Mechanisms SJTU2024 and Yin2024 reproduced the experimental data series well, while DUT2024 rignificantly underpredicted them in the whole temperature range.

For a selected IDT-RCM data series, there is a major disagreement for all the mechanisms, as shown in Figure 3. Kaust2023 significantly overpredicts

<sup>4</sup> 

1 ignition delay times, while DUT2024 underpredicts 2 them between 1032 K and 1100 K.

3



4 Figure 4. Simulation results of concentration profiles
5 of NH<sub>3</sub> measured in a jet-stirred reactor by He et al.
6 [17].
7



8 Figure 5. Simulation results of concentration profiles
9 of NH<sub>3</sub> measured in a flow reactor by Yin2024 [9].
10

Figures 4 and 5 show the simulation results of the mechanisms for the concentration profiles of NH<sub>3</sub> measured in a jet-stirred reactor by He et al. [17] and a flow reactor by Yin2024 [9], respectively. For the SJSR simulations, all the models underpredict the experimental data in the whole temperature range. DUT2024 largely underpredicts the JSR data between NO0 and 1200 K. For the case of FR measurements, the SJTU2024 mechanism well reproduced the measured NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations.

21

## 22 6. Conclusion

23

A large set of literature experimental data covering wide ranges of conditions on NH<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>OH combustion was collected: ignition delay times measured in shock tubes (188 data points in 27 data series) and rapid compression machines (352/15) and concentration measurements carried out in jet-stirred reactors (934/41) and flow reactors (1078/77). Five detailed reaction mechanisms were investigated using the collected experimental data. The simulation results of the mechanisms for several selected experimental data series were shown. The results show that Yin2024 is the best-performing mechanism 36 based on the experimental data collection. Local 37 sensitivity analysis for this model will be carried out 38 in the future to identify the most important reactions 39 on  $NH_3$ /methanol combustion.

### 41 Declaration of competing interest

#### 42

40

47

49

55

57

The authors declare that they have no knowncompeting financial interests or personal relationshipsthat could have appeared to influence the workreported in this paper.

## 48 Acknowledgements

50 This work was supported by NKFIH grant OTKA 51 K147024 of the Hungarian National Research, 52 Development, and Innovation Office. We 53 acknowledge the support of COST Action CYPHER 54 CA22151.

## 56 References

58 [1] O. Herbinet, P. Bartocci, A. G. Dana, On the use of
59 ammonia as a fuel – A perspective, Fuel Commun. 11 (2022)
60 1–16.

61 [2] B. Wang, H. Wang, C. Yang, D. Hu, B. Duan, Y. Wang,
62 Effect of different ammonia/methanol ratios on engine
63 combustion and emission performance, Appl. Therm. Eng.
64 236 (2024) 121519.

[3] J. S. Cardoso, V. Silva, R. C. Rocha, M. J. Hall, M. Costa,
D. Eusébio, Ammonia as an energy vector: Current and
future prospects for low-carbon fuel applications in internal
combustion engines, J. Clean. Prod. 296 (2021) 126562.

[4] T. Varga, C. Olm, M. Papp, Á. Busai, I. Gy. Zsély, T.
Turányi, ReSpecTh Kinetics Data Format Specification v2.5
https://respecth.elte.hu/ReSpecTh\_Kinetics\_Data\_Format\_
Specification\_v2.5.pdf.

73 [5] M. Papp, T. Varga, Á. Busai, I. Gy. Zsély, T. Nagy, T.

74 Turányi, Optima++ v2.5: A general C++ framework for 75 performing combustion simulations and mechanism 76 optimization. 2024.

[6] D. G. Goodwin, H. K. Moffat, I. Schoegl, R. L. Speth, B.
78 W. Weber, Cantera: An object-oriented software toolkit for
79 chemical kinetics, thermodynamics, and transport processes,
80 https://www.cantera.org. 2023.

81 [7] A. Cuoci, A. Frassoldati, T. Faravelli, E. Ranzi, 82 OpenSMOKE++: An object-oriented framework for the 83 numerical modeling of reactive systems with detailed kinetic

- 84 mechanisms, Comput. Phys. Commun. 192 (2015) 237-264.
- 85 [8] T. Turányi, T. Nagy, I. Gy. Zsély, M. Cserháti, T. Varga,
- 86 B. T. Szabó, I. Sedyó, P. T. Kiss, A. Zempléni, H. J. Curran,
   87 Determination of rate parameters based on both direct and
- 88 indirect measurements, Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 44 (2012) 284– 89 302.

90 [9] G. Yin, S. Shen, H. Zhan, E. Hu, H. Zhang, Y. Bao, Z.
91 Huang, Experimental and modeling study for the effect of
92 methanol blending on ammonia oxidation and NOx
93 formation at high pressure, Combust. Flame 268 (2024)

- 94 113654. 95 [10] X. Zhang, K. K. Yalamanchi, S. Mani Sarathy,
- 96 Combustion chemistry of ammonia/C1 fuels: A 97 comprehensive kinetic modeling study, Fuel 341 (2023) 98 127676.

99 [11] Z. Zhang, A. Li, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Zhu, Z. Huang, An

4

1 experimental and kinetic modelling study on the oxidation of

 $2~NH_3,~NH_3/H_2,~NH_3/CH_4$  in a variable pressure laminar flow

3 reactor at engine-relevant conditions, Combust. Flame 265 4 (2024) 113513.

5 [12] M. Li, X. He, H. Hashemi, P. Glarborg, V. M. Lowe, P.

6 Marshall, R. Fernandes, B. Shu, An experimental and

7 modeling study on auto-ignition kinetics of 8 ammonia/methanol mixtures at intermediate temperature

9 and high pressure, Combust. Flame 242 (2022) 112160.
10 [13] M. Lu, W. Long, P. Wang, P. Dong, L. Cong, H. Tian,

11 D. Dong, Y. Tang, W. Zhao, Chemical reaction network

12 analysis on  $N_2O$  emissions control strategies of ammonia/

13 methanol Co-combustion, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 78 (2024)

14 1034–1047.

15 [14] Z. Wang, X. Han, Y. He, R. Zhu, Y. Zhu, Z. Zhou, K. 16 Cen, Experimental and kinetic study on the laminar burning 17 velocities of  $NH_3$  mixing with  $CH_3OH$  and  $C_2H_3OH$  in

18 premixed flames, Combust. Flame 229 (2021) 1–11.

19 [15] X. Li, Z. Ma, Y. Jin, X. Wang, Z. Xi, S. Hu, X. Chu,

20 Effect of methanol blending on the high-temperature auto-21 ignition of ammonia: An experimental and modeling study,

22 Fuel 339 (2023) 126911.

23 [16] S. Nadiri, B. Shu, C. F. Goldsmith, R. Fernandes,
24 Development of comprehensive kinetic models of
25 ammonia/methanol ignition using Reaction Mechanism
26 Generator (RMG), Combust. Flame 251 (2023) 112710.

27 [17] X. He, M. Li, B. Shu, R. Fernandes, K. Moshammer,

27 [17] X. He, M. Li, B. Shu, K. Fernandes, K. Moshannier,
28 Exploring the Effect of Different Reactivity Promoters on
29 the Oxidation of Ammonia in a Jet-Stirred Reactor, J. Phys.

30 Chem. A 127 (2023) 1923–1940.

31 [18] H. Zhan, S. Shen, G. Yin, Y. Bao, E. Hu, Z. Huang,

32 High-Pressure Oxidation of an Ammonia–Methanol 33 Mixture: An Experimental and Modeling Study, Energy 34 Fuels 38 (2024) 23091–23100.