Reaction kinetics modelling

Tamás Turányi

Chemical Kinetics Laboratory
Institute of Chemistry
ELTE

2019
.

Recommendation of special courses of Tamás Turányi

Chemistry and Physics of Flames (in English)
in each spring semester
- combustion chemistry
- experimental methods for the investigation of combustion reactions
- experimental determination of gas-phase rate coefficients
- physics of flame spread

Analysis of Kinetic Reaction Mechanisms (in English)
in each autumn semester
- reaction kinetics modelling
- sensitivity analysis
- uncertainty analysis
- time scale analysis
- mechanism reduction

Analysis of kinetic reaction mechanisms – the book

Tamás Turányi and Alison S. Tomlin:

Analysis of kinetic reaction mechanisms

Springer, 2014

(with 1025 references)

Web page:
http://garfield.chem.elte.hu/Turanyi/KineticReactionMechanisms.html

- table of contents
- download the chapters
- references
- typos found

3

Practical applications of reaction kinetics

- **modelling atmospheric chemical processes**
    - forecast of air pollution (weather forecast is needed!)
    - determination of emission limits

- **modelling of ignition and combustion**
    - modelling power stations, furnaces, engines
    - improving efficiency
    - elaboration of methods for the decrease of pollutant emission

- **process engineering; modelling of chemical engineering processes**
    - considering efficiency and the aspects of environment protection

- **systems biology: modelling biochemical processes within living organisms**
    - metabolic networks (*e.g.* medical drug decomposition in the body)
    - molecular signal transfer
    - modelling the cell cycle

- **non-chemical models using reaction kinetic formalism**
    - predator-prey models
    - description of ecological systems

4

Detailed reaction mechanisms:
many reaction steps and many parameters

hydrogen combustion	30 reaction steps
natural gas combustion	300 reaction steps
petrol combustion	3000 reaction steps
Diesel oil combustion	15000 reaction steps

This is a skeleton 12-step hydrogen combustion mechanism:

1	$H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow .H + .HO_2$	$k_1(T)$
2	$.H + O_2 \rightarrow .OH + :O$	$k_2(T)$
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$	$k_3(T)$
4	$:O + H_2 \rightarrow .OH + .H$	$k_4(T)$
5	$.H + O_2 + M \rightarrow .HO_2 + M$	$k_5(T, p)$
6	$.H \rightarrow$ wall	$k_6(T)$
7	$:O \rightarrow$ wall	$k_7(T)$
8	$.OH \rightarrow$ wall	$k_8(T)$
9	$.HO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O_2$	$k_9(T)$
10	$2 .HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	$k_{10}(T)$
11	$H_2O_2 + M \rightarrow 2 .OH + M$	$k_{11}(T, p)$
12	$.HO_2 \rightarrow$ wall	$k_{12}(T)$

$k(T, p)$ temperature-dependence: 3-parameter Arrhenius-equation
pressure-dependence: several more parameters

Further parameters to each species: thermodynamical data, diffusion coefficients, viscosity

Equivalence ratio

fuel lean combustion
$CH_4 + O_2$ mixture $\rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O + (O_2$ remains$)$
$\varphi < 1; \lambda > 1$

stoichiometric combustion
$CH_4 + O_2$ mixture $\rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$
$\varphi = 1; \lambda = 1$

fuel rich combustion
$CH_4 + O_2$ mixture $\rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O + (CH_4$ remains$)$
$\varphi > 1; \lambda < 1$

In fact, no methane remains, because
at high temperature methane decomposes
to hydrogen and olefins!

Stoichiometric ratios:

$H_2 + 0.5 O_2 \rightarrow H_2O$
$CH_4 + 2 O_2 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2 H_2O$

$$\varphi = \frac{n_{fuel}/n_{oxidizer}}{(n_{fuel}/n_{oxidizer})_{stoichiometric}}$$

$\lambda :$ air equivalence ratio   (see „λ sensor")
$\varphi :$ fuel equivalence ratio $\varphi = 1/\lambda$

Simulation of spatially homogeneous systems

calculation of concentration changes
(solution of the kinetic system of differential equations) :

$$\frac{d\mathbf{Y}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{p}) \qquad \mathbf{Y}(t_0) = \mathbf{Y}_0$$

calculation of temperature changes in an adiabatic system:

rate of reaction step i
$$\Downarrow$$
$$C_p \frac{dT}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_R} \Delta_r H_i^{\ominus} \, r_i \qquad T(t_0) = T_0$$

heat capacity of the mixture $\Uparrow$

$\Uparrow$ standard reaction enthalpy
of reaction step i

Temperature dependence of thermodynamic data

NASA polynomials

$$\frac{H^{\theta}}{RT} = a_1 + \frac{a_2}{2}T + \frac{a_3}{3}T^2 + \frac{a_4}{4}T^3 + \frac{a_5}{5}T^4 + \frac{a_6}{T}$$

$$\frac{c_p}{R} = a_1 + a_2 T + a_3 T^2 + a_4 T^3 + a_5 T^4$$

$$\frac{S^{\theta}}{R} = a_1 \ln T + a_2 T + \frac{a_3}{2}T^2 + \frac{a_4}{3}T^3 + \frac{a_5}{4}T^4 + a_7$$

Temperature dependence of rate coefficient k

Described by the Arrhenius equation:

$$k = A \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right) \qquad\qquad \ln k = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{RT}$$

A   preexponential factor
Ea   activation energy

If the rate coefficient k is measured at several T temperatures and
ln k is plotted as a function of 1/T
the data fit to a line, if the (original) Arrhenius equation is valid
slope is $m = -E_a/R$ $\Rightarrow$ determination of E_a

Arrhenius plot:

9

Example: reaction $CH_4 + OH \rightarrow CH_3 + H_2O$

- the most important methane consuming reaction step in the troposphere
- one of the most important steps at methane combustion

Arrhenius plot between 220 K ($-$ 53 °C)
and 320 K (+ 47 °C)

Arrhenius plot between 300 K   (27 °C)
and 2200 K ($\approx$1930 °C)

the Arrhenius equation is usually
very accurate in a small
(few times 10 K) temperature range.
(solution phase and atmospheric chemistry)

the original Arrhenius equation
is usually not applicable in a wide
temperature range
(combustion and pyrolytic systems)

10

5

Temperature dependence of the rate coefficient *2*

$$k = BT^n \, e^{-\frac{C}{RT}}$$

extended Arrhenius equation

Important!
If $n \neq 0$. then $A \neq B$ and $E_a \neq C$

General definition of
activation energy:

$$E_a = -R \frac{\partial \ln k}{\partial (1/T)}$$

11

Pressure dependence of the rate coefficients 1
unimolecular decomposition

decomposition or isomerization of a species

   A + M = P + M

A   reactant
P   product
M   colliding partner for energy transfer

pressure

low pressure 2nd order decay of A
high pressure 1st order decay of A

„pseudo first order" rate coefficient:

high pressure limit: $k^{uni} = k_\infty$
low pressure limit: $k^{uni} = k_0 \, [M]$

The temperature dependences of k_0 and k_∞ are described independently
by extended Arrhenus equations:

$$k_0 = A_0 T^{n_0} \exp\left(\frac{-E_0}{RT} \right) \qquad k_\infty = A_\infty T^{n_\infty} \exp\left(\frac{-E_\infty}{RT} \right)$$

12

Lindemann – Hinshelwood model

$$A + M \rightarrow A^* + M \qquad k_1$$
$$A^* + M \rightarrow A + M \qquad k_2 \qquad k_{uni} = \frac{k_3 k_1 [M]}{k_2 [M] + k_3}$$
$$A^* \rightarrow P \qquad k_3$$

high pressure: $[M] \rightarrow \infty$ $\qquad k_{uni} \approx \dfrac{k_3 k_1}{k_2} \qquad k_\infty = \dfrac{k_3 k_1}{k_2}$

low pressure: $[M] \approx 0$ $\qquad k_{uni} \approx k_1 [M] \qquad k_0 = k_1$

unimolecular decomposition rates:

k^{uni} „pseudo first order" rate coefficient (s^{-1})
k_∞ high pressure limit (first order) rate coefficient (s^{-1})
k_0 low pressure limit (second order) rate coefficient ($mol^{-1}\, dm^3\, s^{-1}$)

13

Refined Lindemann – Hinshelwood model of unimolecular reactions

sample reaction: $H_2O_2 + M \rightarrow H_2O_2^* + M \rightarrow .OH + .OH + M$

- instead of assuming a single excited species,
  $H_2O_2^*$ exists in a range of different rovibrationally excited states.
- the rate of the decompostion step increases with
  the increasing rovibrational energy of $H_2O_2^*$

low pressure:
- the rate limiting is the collision with M
- the overall decomposition rate is
  proportional with pressure

high pressure:
- the rate limiting is the
  decomposition of $H_2O_2^*$
- the overall decomposition rate is
  independent of pressure

$H_2O_2 \rightarrow .OH + .OH$ $\qquad$ 2nd order at low pressure, 1st order at high pressure

14

7

Unimolecular decomposition: calculation of the rate coefficient at an intermediate pressure

$$k = k_\infty \left(\frac{P_r}{1 + P_r} \right) F$$

$$P_r = \frac{k_0 [M]}{k_\infty}$$

P_r reduced pressure
F controls the shape of the $k(p)$ curve

in the Lindemann model $F = 1$

$H_2O_2 (+M) \rightarrow .OH + .OH (+M)$

k^{uni}

high-pressure limit

low-pressure limit

Lindemann model ($F = 1$)

Troe parametrization ($F_{cent} = 0.43$)

$k\ (s^{-1})$

p / bar

F can be defined as a function of pressure and temperature
(*e.g.* using Troe parameterization):

$$\log F = \log F_{cent} \left[1 + \left[\frac{\log P_r + c}{n - d(\log P_r + c)} \right]^2 \right]^{-1}$$

$c = -0.4 - 0.67 \log F_{cent}$
$n = -0.75 - 1.271 \log F_{cent}$
$d = 0.14$

$$F_{cent} = (1 - \alpha) \exp\left(-\frac{T}{T^{***}} \right) + \alpha \exp\left(-\frac{T}{T^*} \right) + \exp\left(-\frac{T^{**}}{T} \right)$$

15

Collision efficiency parameters

M   any species present in the mixture
     BUT some species are more effective colliders

good collider: removes much energy from the excited species
     in each collision

Which are the good colliders?
- species with similar energy levels to those of the excited species
- large molecules with many energy levels

poor collider: *e.g.* noble gases:
     no rotational or vibrational energy levels
     only the translational mode can be excited

calculation of the effective concentration of M:
m_i: collision efficiency parameter

$$[M] = \sum_i m_{y_i} [Y_i]$$

calculation for reaction $H_2O_2 (+M) \rightarrow .OH + .OH (+M)$:

$[M] = 5[H_2O] + 5.13[H_2O_2] + 0.8[O_2] + 2.47[H_2] + 1.87[CO] + 1.07[CO_2] + 0.67[Ar] + 0.43[He] + [all others]$

16

Pressure dependence of the rate coefficients 2
complex-forming bimolecular reactions

sample reaction:　　CH$_3$ + OH (+ M) → CH$_3$OH* → CH$_3$OH (+ M)
　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　→ ^{1}CH$_2$ + H$_2$O (+ M)

k_1
$k_2(E)$
+ M
$k_3(E)$
CH$_3$ + OH
^{1}CH$_2$ + H$_2$O
CH$_3$OH

low pressure:
　mainly CH$_3$OH* decomposition to
　　　　　　　　　　^{1}CH$_2$ + H$_2$O
(^{1}CH$_2$ = singlet CH$_2$ =
　electronically excited CH$_2$)

high pressure:
　mainly CH$_3$OH* stabilization,
　　　　　　　　giving CH$_3$OH

CH$_3$ + OH → CH$_3$OH　　　3rd order at low pressure, 2nd order at high pressure

CH$_3$ + OH → ^{1}CH$_2$ + H$_2$O　3rd order at low pressure, 2nd order at high pressure

17

Formation of the stabilization product

$$k^{bi} = k_\infty \left(\frac{P_r}{1 + P_r} \right) F$$

$$P_r = \frac{k_0 [M]}{k_\infty}$$

P_r reduced pressure
F　controls the shape of the
　　$k(p)$ curve

in the Lindemann model $F = 1$

$F(p,T)$ can be defined by e.g. Troe parameterization

CH$_3$ + OH (+ M) → CH$_3$OH (+ M)

k^{bi}

high-pressure limit

low-pressure limit

Lindemann model ($F = 1$)

Troe parametrization ($F_{cent} = 0.133$)

k (cm^3 mol^{-1} s^{-1})

p / bar

k^{bi}　　　„pseudo second order" rate coefficient
at low pressure:　　$k^{bi} = k_0$ [M]
at high pressure:　　$k^{bi} = k_\infty$

18

9

Formation of the decomposition products

$$k^{\text{tri}} = k_0 \left(\frac{1}{1 + P_r} \right) F$$

$$P_r = \frac{k_0 [\text{M}]}{k_\infty}$$

P_r reduced pressure
F controls the shape of the $k(p)$ curve

in the Lindemann model $F = 1$

$F(p, T)$ can be defined by *e.g.* Troe parameterization

k^{tri} „pseudo third order" rate coefficient
at low pressure: $k^{\text{tri}} = k_0$
at high pressure: $k^{\text{tri}} = k_\infty / [\text{M}]$

CH$_3$ + OH (+M) → ^{1}CH$_2$ + H$_2$O (+M)

k^{tri}

low-pressure limit

high-pressure limit

Lindemann model ($F = 1$)

Troe parametrization ($F_{\text{cent}} = 0.541$)

k^{tri} / cm^6 mol^{-2} s^{-1}

k (cm^6 mol^{-2} s^{-1})

10^0 $\quad$ 10^1 $\quad$ 10^2 $\quad$ 10^3 $\quad$ 10^4

p / bar

19

Explosion of a hydrogen-oxygen mixture

2 H$_2$ + O$_2$ = 2 H$_2$O

10 000

Third limit

D

Pressure / torr

1000

Slow reaction

100

Second limit

C

10

Explosion

B First limit

400 $\quad$ 450 $\quad$ A 500 $\quad$ 550

Temperature / °C

1	$H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow .H + .HO_2$	chain initiation
2	$.H + O_2 \rightarrow .OH + :O$	chain branching
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$	chain continuation
4	$:O + H_2 \rightarrow .OH + .H$	chain branching
5	$.H + O_2 + M \rightarrow .HO_2 + M$	chain termination*
6	$.H \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
7	$:O \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
8	$.OH \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
9	$.HO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O_2$	chain initiation*
10	$2 .HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	chain termination
11	$H_2O_2 + M \rightarrow 2 .OH + M$	chain initiation
12	$.HO_2 \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination

1	$H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow .H + .HO_2$	chain initiation
2	$.H + O_2 \rightarrow .OH + :O$	chain branching
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$	chain continuation
4	$:O + H_2 \rightarrow .OH + .H$	chain branching
5	$.H + O_2 + M \rightarrow .HO_2 + M$	chain termination*
6	$.H \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
7	$:O \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
8	$.OH \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
9	$.HO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O_2$	chain initiation*
10	$2 .HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	chain termination
11	$H_2O_2 + M \rightarrow 2 .OH + M$	chain initiation
12	$.HO_2 \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination

below the 1st explosion limit:

chain termination reactions 6, 7, 8 remove the radicals
(radical loss on the wall)

$\rightarrow$ no explosion

1	$H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow .H + .HO_2$	chain initiation
2	$.H + O_2 \rightarrow .OH + :O$	chain branching
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$	chain continuation
4	$:O + H_2 \rightarrow .OH + .H$	chain branching
5	$.H + O_2 + M \rightarrow .HO_2 + M$	chain termination*
6	$.H \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
7	$:O \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
8	$.OH \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
9	$.HO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O_2$	chain initiation*
10	$2 .HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	chain termination
11	$H_2O_2 + M \rightarrow 2 .OH + M$	chain initiation
12	$.HO_2 \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination

between explosion limits 1 and 2:

2-3-4 chain branching steps

2	$H + O_2 \rightarrow .OH + :O$
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$
4	$:O + H_2 \rightarrow .H + .OH$
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$
+	

$.H + O_2 + 3 H_2 \rightarrow 3 .H + 2 H_2O \qquad \rightarrow$ **explosion**

Hydrogen-oxygen explosion
between limits 1 and 2

H atom is a very reactive intermediate
its concentration first increases quickly + starts to decay
when the concentrations of H_2 and O_2 decreases
concentration of H_2O: saturation curve

1	$H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow .H + .HO_2$	chain initiation
2	$.H + O_2 \rightarrow .OH + :O$	chain branching
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$	chain continuation
4	$:O + H_2 \rightarrow .OH + .H$	chain branching
5	$.H + O_2 + M \rightarrow .HO_2 + M$	chain termination*
6	$.H \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
7	$:O \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
8	$.OH \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
9	$.HO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O_2$	chain initiation*
10	$2 .HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	chain termination
11	$H_2O_2 + M \rightarrow 2 .OH + M$	chain initiation
12	$.HO_2 \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination

between explosion limits 2 and 3:

5	$.H + O_2 + M \rightarrow .HO_2 + M$	chain termination*
12	$.HO_2 \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination

→ no explosion

M   any species present
     at the hydrogen/air explosion it is mainly N_2 O_2 H_2
           but can be any other species   (*e.g.*   .H   .HO$_2$ .OH)

1	$H_2 + O_2 \rightarrow .H + .HO_2$	chain initiation
2	$.H + O_2 \rightarrow .OH + :O$	chain branching
3	$.OH + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O$	chain continuation
4	$:O + H_2 \rightarrow .OH + .H$	chain branching
5	$.H + O_2 + M \rightarrow .HO_2 + M$	chain termination*
6	$.H \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
7	$:O \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
8	$.OH \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination
9	$.HO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow .H + H_2O_2$	chain initiation*
10	$2 .HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2$	chain termination
11	$H_2O_2 + M \rightarrow 2 .OH + M$	chain initiation
12	$.HO_2 \rightarrow$ wall	chain termination

above explosion limit 3

- high pressure $\Rightarrow$ reaction step 5 produces much $.HO_2$
- reaction steps 9 and 10 convert $.HO_2$ to H_2O_2
- at high pressure the decomposition of H_2O_2 is fast (reaction 11)
  and it produces highly reactive OH radicals

→ explosion

Effect of the heterogeneous reactions 2

without wall

effect of wall $\Rightarrow$ S-shape

(a) R5 .H + O$_2$ + M → .HO$_2$ + M

R5 weak explosion

Pressure

R2 -dominating
Strong Explosion

R2 .H + O$_2$ → .OH + :O

Temperature

(b) R5 Weakly Explosive

due to adsorption
of radical .HO$_2$

Non-explosive

Pressure

R2 Strongly Explosive

Non-explosive
due to adsorption of radicals .H, :O, .OH

Temperature

The dashed line corresponds to pressure where [M] = 2 k_2/k_5
(R2 .H + O$_2$ → .OH + :O   R5   .H + O$_2$ + M → .HO$_2$ + M)

The wall reactions result in the non-explosive regions!
lower non-explosive region (below limit 1):   **adsorption of radicals H, :O, .OH**
upper non-explosive region (between limits 2 and 3): **adsorption of radical .HO$_2$**

---

between limits 1 and 3
the key of explosion / no explosion behaviour
the HO$_2$ reaction system

at temperature 650 K
below about 40 torr   .H + O$_2$ → .OH + :O   → explosion
above about 40 torr   .H + O$_2$ + M → .HO$_2$ + M   → **NO** explosion

k_1

+ M

$k_3(E)$

$k_2(E)$

.OH + :O

.H + O$_2$

.HO$_2$

H + O$_2$ ---> HO$_2$

H + O$_2$ ---> OH + O

k / cm^{3}mol^{-1}s^{-1}

p / torr

.H + O$_2$ → .HO$_2$*
low pressure: .HO$_2$*→ .H + O$_2$ or .HO$_2$*→ .OH + :O   → explosion
high pressure: .HO$_2$*→ .HO$_2$ (stabilization)   → **NO explosion**

Computer codes for the study of complex reaction systems

29

Reaction kinetics simulation codes

WINPP/XPP Windows simulation code
solving systems of ODEs, DAEs and PDEs.
The user has to provide the rate equations $\Rightarrow$ applicable for small systems only
http://www.math.pitt.edu/~bard/classes/wppdoc/readme.htm

KPP: Kinetic Preprocessor http://people.cs.vt.edu/~asandu/Software/Kpp/
production of the kinetic ODE from the reaction mechanism
numerical solution of stiff ODEs; sparse matrix routines

> V. Damian, A. Sandu, M. Damian, F. Potra, G. R. Carmichael:
> The Kinetic PreProcessor KPP - A software environment for solving chemical kinetics.
> *Comp. Chem. Eng.* **26**, 1567-1579 (2002)

SUNDIALS: SUite of Nonlinear and DIfferential/ALgebraic equation Solvers
https://computation.llnl.gov/casc/sundials/main.html

MATLAB interface to the following solvers:
CVODE   solves initial value problems for ordinary differential equation (ODE) systems
CVODES solves ODE systems and includes sensitivity analysis capabilities
ARKODE solves initial value ODE problems with additive Runge-Kutta methods
IDA   solves initial value problems for differential-algebraic equation (DAE) systems
IDAS   solves DAE systems and includes sensitivity analysis capabilities
KINSOL   solves nonlinear algebraic systems.

30

CHEMKIN

Developed at the SANDIA National Laboratories, Livermore, CA, USA
CHEMKIN-I (1975-1985)
CHEMKIN-II (1985-1995)
Simulation codes: SENKIN, PSR, PREMIX, SHOCK, EQLIB
+ utility programs, data bases
FORTRAN codes, controlled by the input files

> Kee R. J., Rupley F. M., Miller J. A.
> CHEMKIN-II: A FORTRAN *Chemical Kinetics Package*
> *for the Analysis of Gas-Phase Chemical Kinetics*
> SANDIA report No. SAND79-8009B

AnSys https://www.ansys.com/ (formerly ReactionDesign)
 Commertial codes; source code is not provided

Chemkin 3.x,
        Graphical User Interface (GUI) to CHEMKIN-II
Chemkin 4.x
        really new solvers, graphical interface, versatile
Chemkin Pro
        Chemkin + additional utility codes (*e.g.* pathway plotting)   31

CHEMKIN simulation codes
https://www.ansys.com/

CHEMKIN → CHEMKIN -II → CHEMKIN 3 → CHEMKIN 4 → CHEMKIN PRO

CHEMKIN (1975–)   classified code
CHEMKIN-II (1986–)   classified code, then freeware
since CHEMKIN 3 (1996–)   commercial code (now: Ansys)

CHEMKIN-II simulation codes:

            SENKIN   spatially homogeneous reactions
            PREMIX   laminar premixed flames
            SHOCK   shock tube simulations
            PSR   perfectly stirred reactor simulations

Options of SENKIN:

            adiabatic system, constant p pressure
            adiabatic system, constant V volume
            adiabatic system, $V(t)$ function
            closed system, constant p, T
            closed system, constant V, T
            closed system, $p(t)$ and $T(t)$ function   32

CHEMKIN-II simulation codes

Relation between the
- measured quantity
- experimental setup
- modelling approach
- the corresponding CHEMKIN-II simulation code

C. Olm, I. Gy. Zsély, R. Pálvölgyi, T. Varga, T. Nagy, H. J. Curran, T. Turányi: Comparison of the performance of several recent hydrogen combustion mechanisms. *Combust. Flame*, **161**, 2219-2234 (2014).

33

CHEMKIN format of mechanisms

```
ELEMENTS  H  O  N  AR  END
SPECIES
H2     O2    H2O    H2O2   H    O    OH    HO2    N2      AR
END
THERMO ALL
   300.000  1000.000  5000.000
H2                      H   2    0    0    0G    300.00   5000.00 1000.00    0 1
 2.99142300E+00 7.00064400E-04-5.63382900E-08-9.23157800E-12 1.58275200E-15    2
-8.35034000E+02-1.35511000E+00 3.29812400E+00 8.24944200E-04-8.14301500E-07    3
-9.47543400E-11 4.13487200E-13-1.01252100E+03-3.29409400E+00                   4
O2                      O   2    0    0    0G    300.00   5000.00 1000.00    0 1
 3.69757800E+00 6.13519700E-04-1.25884200E-07 1.77528100E-11-1.13643500E-15    2
-1.23393000E+03 3.18916600E+00 3.21293600E+00 1.12748600E-03-5.75615000E-07    3
 1.31387700E-09-8.76855400E-13-1.00524900E+03 6.03473800E+00                   4
H2O                     H   2O   1    0    0G    300.00   5000.00 1000.00    0 1
 2.67214600E+00 3.05629300E-03-8.73026000E-07 1.20099600E-10-6.39161800E-15    2
-2.98992                                                               E-06    3
 6.96858                                                                       4
H2O2                                                                   00    0 1
 4.57316                                                               E-14    2
-1.80069                                                               E-07    3
-4.62580                                                                       4
H                       H   1    0    0    0G    300.00   5000.00 1000.00    0 1
 2.50000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.00000000E+00    2
 2.54716300E+04-4.60117600E-01 2.50000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 0.00000000E+00    3
 0.00000000E+00 0.00000000E+00 2.54716300E+04-4.60117600E-01                   4
...
```

for each species, the description of the temperature dependence of *H*, *S* and c_p NASA polynomials: 2×7 parameters

34

17

CHEMKIN format of mechanisms

```
REACTIONS   MOLES  KJOULES/MOLE
H2+O                    => OH+H              5.120E+04    2.67      26.27
OH+H                    => H2+O              3.534E+04    2.62      18.95
H2+OH                   => H2O+H
H2O+H                   => H2+OH
O2+H+M                  => HO2+M             2.100E+18   -.80        .00
  N2/0.67/ O2/0.4/ H2O/0./ AR/0.28/
HO2+M                   => O2+H+M            1.159E+20  -1.26      211.41
```

Arrhenius parameters *A, n, E*

3rd body collision efficiencies

```
HO2+H2O                 => O2+H+H2O          6.890E+15    .00       -8.73
                                            3.801E+17   -.46      202.68
O2+H                    => OH+O              9.756E+13    .00       62.11
OH+O                    => O2+H              1.450E+13    .00        2.94
H2O2+O                  => OH+HO2            6.620E+11    .00       16.63
OH+HO2                  => H2O2+O            4.073E+08    .72       77.51
H2O2+OH                 => H2O+HO2           7.830E+12    .00        5.57
H2O+HO2                 => H2O2+OH           4.744E+11    .45      140.59
H2O2(+M)                => 2OH(+M)           3.000E+14    .00      202.87
  N2/0.4/ O2/0.4/ H2O/6.5/ AR/0.35/
    LOW /  3.000E+17    .00       190.40 /
    TROE /    1.0000     1.00       1.00    1040.00 /
2OH(+M)                 => H2O2(+M)          7.230E+13   -.37        .00
  N2/0.4/ O2/0.4/ H2O/6.5/ AR/0.35/
    LOW /  5.530E+19   -.76        .00 /
    TROE /    1.0000     1.00       1.00    1040.00 /
...
...
END
```

Arrhenius parameters of k_0

Troe-parameters for the desciption of *p*-dependence   35

Alternatives to CHEMKIN

Cantera www.cantera.org
Open source code, available from SourceForge.net
chemical equilibrium, homogeneous and heterogeneous kinetics
reactor networks, 1D flames

Kintecus www.kintecus.com
Excel workbook; free for academic use
Simulation of combustion, atmospheric chemical and biological systems

COSILAB www.softpredict.com
commertial combustion simulation and mechanism analysis code
- visualization of reaction pathways
- reduction of kinetic mechanisms
- simulation of reactor networks
- two-dimensional reactors and flames
- spray and dust flames

36

Alternatives to CHEMKIN 2

OpenSmoke (Milano; http://www.opensmoke.polimi.it/)
freely available program
numerical modelling of laminar reacting flows
built on the OpenFOAM framework
homogeneous reactions, heterogeneous reactions on catalytic surfaces

FlameMaster (Aachen; http://www.itv.rwthaachen.de/downloads/flamemaster/)
free for academic use
*   homogeneous reactor and perfectly stirred reactor calculations
*   freely propagating premixed flames
*   steady counter-flow diffusion flames

Chem1D (Eindhoven; https://www.tue.nl/)
flame simulations with both detailed and few-step mechanisms

laminar flames:
adiabatic, burner stabilized, ceramic burner stabilized and counterflow flames
special effects in laminar flames:
simulation of stretch, curvature, gas radiation

37

SBML

SBML: Systems Biology Markup Language http://sbml.org/

SBML model definition format was created
to promote the exchange of systems biology models
(similar to the role of CHEMKIN format in gas kinetics)

281 SBML-compatible software packages are available (January 2016)
The list of these simulation codes can be looked at http://sbml.org/

Increase of the number of SBML-based computer codes
(these include both academic and commertial codes)

38

Copasi

COPASI (COmplex PAthway SImulator)
http://copasi.org/

Simulation and *analysis* of biochemical network *models*.
Free, support, but source code is not provided.

Homogeneous kinetic systems in interacting compartments
Import and export of models in the SBML format (levels 1 to 3).
Export of models in many format (XPP, C code, Latex).

- ODE-based and stochastic simulatons
- stoichiometric analysis of the reaction networks
- optimization of models; parameter estimation
- local sensitivity analysis.
- time scale separation analysis
- characterization of non-linear dynamics properties (oscillations and chaos)

S. Hoops, S. Sahle, R. Gauges, C. Lee, J. Pahle, N. Simus, M. Singhal, L. Xu, P. Mendes, U. Kummer:
COPASI — a COmplex PAthway SImulator. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 3067-3074 (2006)

Global uncertainty analysis codes

GUI-HDMR http://www.gui-hdmr.de
The GUI-HDMR software is based on the RS-HDMR approach, where all component functions are approximated by orthonormal polynomials using random (or quasi-random) samples. Calculation of up to second-order global sensitivity indices based on user supplied sets of input/output data. The component functions are approximated by up to 10th order orthonormal polynomials.

T. Ziehn, A. S. Tomlin: GUI-HDMR - A software tool for global sensitivity analysis of complex models
Environmental Modelling & Software, **24**, 775-785 (2009)

SimLab https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/samo/simlab
Developed at the EC Joint Research Centre (EC-JRC) in Ispra, Italy.
Versions up to 2.2: GUI based nice education tool
(1) generation of random or quasi-random parameter sets
(2) running the models (within SimLab or externally)
(3) processing of the simulation results (FAST, Morris' and Sobol methods)
    visualisation of the outcome of uncertainty/sensitivity analyses.

SimLab versions from 3.0:
subroutine can be called from Fortran, Python, C++, or Matlab

40

• Respecth Collection

RE reaction kinetics **SPEC** spectroscopy **TH** thermochemistry **http://respecth.hu**

reaction kinetics   **spectroscopy**   **thermodynamics**

- database of combustion and rate coefficient experimental
  data in XML format (in extended PrIMe format)
  for the hydrogen reactions
- code for reading and writing the XML files
- specification document of the XML data
- collection of Chemkin mechanisms
- programs for the analysis of mechanisms
  including *u-Limits*, UBAC, JPDAP

NEW USERS ARE WELCOME !!!

Chemical Kinetics Laboratory, Institute of Chemistry, Eötvös University (ELTE), Budapest, Hungary   **41**

Thank you for your attention!

42